



Evaluating the impact of advice and appliances replacements on power demand and energy consumption: feedback from a field study on the Réunion Island

Guillaume BINET (EDF R&D) Delphine BOUSAROUT (EDF R&D)





1. The context of the Reunion Island and the USER project 2. Methodology for the monitoring campaign and results

3. Conclusion and perspectives







The context of the Reunion Island and the USER project





The Reunion Island





- French overseas department
- 2512 sq.km
- 861 210 inhabitants
- Electricity generation in 2019
 : 3 046.9 GWh (renewables
 31.2 %) source: OER
 Horizon Reunion





The Specificities of the overseas territories regarding electricity

- Electricity generation mainly relies on CO₂ rich solutions (69 % with fossil fuels)
- The tariff equalization mechanism keeps the price of the kWh on the island exactly the same as the one paid on France mainland

Answers:

- Switching to a larger share of renewables
- Improving energy efficiency and reducing consumptions (in particular for the residential sector)





The USER project

For most households on the Reunion Island, specific end-uses are their sole electricity consumptions (no heating, no hot water)

USER (specific end-uses of electricity on the Reunion Island) is a three-year project launched in 2019 and backed by the French Agency for Ecological Transition (ADEME)

Goals: Increase the knowledge about the specific end-uses on the Reunion Island: appliances ownership rates and characteristics, energy consumptions, impact of the energy efficiency advice, households behaviours regarding electricity and their choices

USER's answers:

- 1. A quantitative survey to get an overlook on the appliances' stock on the island
- 2. A two-part qualitative monitoring campaign
- 3. A qualitative sociological study

We will focus on point 2



Methodology for the monitoring campaign and results





A two-phase monitoring campaign to assess the impact of efficiency advice





What is recorded?

edf





- Instantaneous active power
- 6 seconds time step
- Up to 5 appliances
- Plug and play but in the USER context, installed on site by SPL-Horizon Reunion



The advantages of a « short » time step



- Real and accurate image of the appliances' functionning and power demand
- Allows to compute a large range of indicators





Building a balanced sample

Two main guidelines:

- Capture enough diversity
- Follow as much as possible the same quotas than those used for the quantitative survey

Housing type	Household size (people over 15)	Financial status	Target	Number recruited	Percentage
House	≤2	Financial insecurity	11%	11	17%
House	≤2	No financial insecurity	26%	21	33%
House	>2	Financial insecurity	9%	8	13%
House	>2	No financial insecurity	21%	10	16%
Flat	≤2	Financial insecurity	6%	5	8%
Flat	≤ 2	No financial insecurity	12%	1	2%
Flat	> 2	Financial insecurity	5%	4	6%
Flat	>2	No financial insecurity	10%	3	5%



Phase one: appliances recorded

- 28 different types of appliances recorded
- Focus on the cold appliances (refrigerators and freezers)
- Washing machines, TVs and rice cookers well represented
- Miscellaneous (acceptance sometimes hard)
- At least one month of data



Ex-post results

The five appliances considered are:

- The rice cookers
- The TVs
- The washing-machines
- The fridges
- The freezers (not presented because similar to the fridges)

Why those appliances?

- Widespread: owned by a vast majority of households and therefore large sample
- Cover different end-uses: cooking, entertainment, hygiene, food preservation
- Large share of the specific electricity consumptions for the households



Results for the rice cookers

- 11 households
- Advice given:
 - ✓ Don't use the keep it warm



• About **one third** managed to both reduce their average daily number of cycles and the average cycles' durations



Rice cookers daily average number of cooking cycles variation vs daily



Results for the rice cookers

- 11 households
- Household with an average consumption per cycle lower in phase 2 than in phase 1
- Household with an average consumption per cycle higher in phase 2 than in phase 1











Results for the rice cookers

- 11 households
- Overall, the global (sum) consumption decreased by 2 %...



 Lesson learned: the advice for the rice cookers was very simple and easy to understand.
 Nevertheless it seems quite hard for a slight majority to follow it.
 This may imply that cooking is a quite difficult habit to change (?)





Results for the TV

- 7 households
- Advice given:
 - ✓ Watch TV less!

 The sample size is not significant, only three households managed to both reduce their number of cycles and their durations



TV replaced by the household





Results for the TV

- 7 households
- Household with an average consumption per cycle lower in phase 2 than in phase 1
- Household with an average consumption per cycle higher in phase 2 than in phase 1
- Household with an average consumption per cycle higher in phase 2 than in phase 1 with an appliance replaced (household's initiative)

















Effort ruined!





Results for the TV

- 7 households
- Overall, the global (sum) consumption decreased by 1 %...



• Lesson learned: Like rice cookers, TVs' energy consumptions seem hard to lower!



NR

20



Results for the washing-machines

- 28 households
- Advice given:
 - ✓ Reduce the number of cycles
 - ✓ Lower the washing temperature
- About one third managed to both reduce their average daily number of cycles and the average cycles' energy consumptions.

93 % of the households managed to reduce their cycles' consumptions and / or reduce their daily number of cycles



Washing-machine replaced by the household



Results for the washing-machines

- 28 households
- ▲ Washing-machine replaced by the household



• Finally, 71 % of the households managed to reduce their energy consumptions of their washing-machines



22



Results for the washing-machines

- 28 households
- Overall, the global (sum) consumption decreased by 17 %...











Results for the fridges

- 24 households
- Advice given:
 - ✓ Clean and defrost regularly
 - $\checkmark\,$ Avoid useless openings
 - ✓ Avoid storing too much food an air flow is needed
 - ✓ Cool down food before storing it
 - ✓ The temperature set point should be of + 4 °C for the refrigerators and of – 18 °C for the freezers
 - The appliances should be in a cool room or at least away from the direct sunlight or from a heat source.

10 % of the refrigerators were malfunctionning!







Results for the fridges

- 24 households
 - Household with an average consumption per cycle lower in phase 2 than in phase 1
 - Household with an average consumption per cycle higher in phase 2 than in phase 1
 - Household with an average consumption per cycle lower in phase 2 than in phase 1 with an appliance replaced (household's initiative)
 - Household with an average consumption per
 - cycle lower in phase 2 than in phase 1 with an appliance replaced (USER's initiative)
 - Household with an average consumption per cycle higher in phase 2 than in phase 1 with an appliance replaced (household's initiative)
 - Household with an average consumption per cycle lower in phase 2 than in phase 1 with an appliance replaced (household's initiative with EDF coupon)
- The indoor temperature could not be controlled nevertheless, we can see that those who did not replaced their appliance are somehow close to the first bissector







Results for the fridges

- 24 households
- Overall, the global (sum) consumption decreased by 15 %...







26

Refrigerator annual extrapolated consumption



Conclusion and perspectives





Conclusion

2 groups:

Washing-machines -17 %

Fridges -15 %

- ✓ Around -15%:
 - Washing-machines: advice
 - Fridges: replacement
- ✓ Around -2 %:
 - Rice cookers: advice
 - TVs: advice
- Merit order according to what we noticed through the USER project:
 - No replacement possible: the appliances for which the household has "full control", understands the direct impact of its actions (number of cycles, temperature) should be targeted first as for example the washing-machines
 - A replacement is possible: the malfunctioning cold appliances should be sought after. In doing so, the gains are significant in terms of direct electricity consumed
 - ✓ For end-uses with strong habits like cooking and entertainment, advice can be given but positive results seem harder to reach even if cooking leaves room for hope.





Perspectives

If further works should be continued, it would be interesting:

- To have a feedback from the households about why they could not follow some advice for some end-uses (cooking for example)
- To put in place, for the cold appliances, a temperature control instead of the sole " same season "
- To conduct the measures on a larger sample of households for more robust conclusions (but it's difficult and expensive!)

Curious about the first part of the USER project?

<u>"Load monitoring at a short time step to set up actions: a feedback from the USER project</u> on the Reunion Island" ECEEE Summer Study 2022





<u>ADEME</u>

Cedf

• Thérèse KREITZ – White products expert – Ecodesign directive and energy labelling

ADEME Reunion

- Vincent CHAUSSERIE LAPREE Energy, buildings, climate engineer
- Fabien PICGIRARD SARE overseas facilitator

SPL-Horizon Reunion

- Pierre Yves EZAVIN Technical director
- Cédric FULMAR Head of department energy management
- Alexandra DAMBREVILLE Project manager on buildings
- **EDF Systèmes Energétiques Insulaire**
- Thierry GENDRE Head of marketing and partnerships
- Isabelle DUPAQUIER AH THIANE Mission leader on energy efficiency

Acknowledgements





Thank you

Acre .