

EU-MORE EUropean MOtor REnovation initiative

Promoting the accelerated replacement of old electric motors in the European Union

Anibal T. de Almeida and Joao Fong ISR – University of Coimbra

Contents



- Electric motor systems policies
- Motor Lifetime
- Barriers to motor replacement
- Estimated impact of accelerated replacement
- Conclusions and future work



Worldwide electricity use 2019 (IEA)







IEA Net Zero by 2050 roadmap All industrial electric motors are best in class by 2035



Source: IEA Net Zero by 2050 roadmap report



INSTITUTO DE SISTEMAS E ROBÓTICA



Awareness of the importance of motor systems energy consumption (and corresponding emissions) by policy makers, manufacturers, service companies and industry end-users has led to the implementation of different policies aimed at increasing the uptake of energy efficient electric motors.

These policies include:

- Minimum efficiency regulations
- Financial Incentives
- Energy Management Programs
- Energy Audit Programs
- Raising Awareness and Information Provision



EU existing policy instruments Ecodesign Regulation: Electric Motors



EC Regulations 640/2009 and 2019/1781



EU existing policy instruments Energy Efficiency Directive:



Article 7 obliges Member States to develop an Energy Efficiency Obligation scheme or/and use alternative measures like energy certificates, minimum energy performance requirements etc.

Article 8 Energy audits and energy management systems





Motor Lifetime Common assumption



The average lifetime of motors (including repairs) in previous EU studies have been estimated to be:

	1.0 – 7.5 kW:	12 years
	7.5 – 75 kW:	15 years
	75 – 250 kW:	20 years



Motor Lifetime

Swiss Energy Agency S.A.F.E. (2013)



INSTITUTO DE SISTEMAS E ROBÓTICA

- In 2013 the Swiss Energy Agency S.A.F.E. assessed 4124 separate motor systems in 18 factories.
- The analysis shows that 56% of all motors and their respective systems were older than their expected operating life time (some were twice the expected age).



Source: Impact Energy, Switzerland, 2014

Motor Lifetime US Motor System Market Assessment



Age of industrial motor systems broken down by size



The 2021 US MS Market Assessment found that the majority of motors is over 10 years old, even for small motors under 20hp

* UTC - Unable to Collect

Source: (DoE, 2021) US Motor System Market Assessment





- Efficiency perceived low importance. When considering options for efficiency improvements in a company, motor efficiency is seen as being of low interest.
- **Economic barriers.** Low budget for energy efficiency; relatively long paybacks.
- Higher upfront costs. Companies often decide based on purchase cost instead of life cycle costs.
- Lack of awareness about the co-benefits of energy efficient motors. The advantages of higher efficiency motors, such as lower maintenance due to lower operating temperatures, process improvements, are often not taken into account in the decision-making process.
- Split goals between different company departments. Different departments within a company (production, energy, maintenance, financial, etc.) may have different immediate goals. Sometimes, miscoordination between these departments, in addition to the low budgets for energy efficiency projects, may lead to inaction.



- **Quick availability.** The need for vital plant equipment to be brought on-line again as soon as possible, will mean that when a motor fails they will do whatever is quickest. This often means repairing the motor or replacing it with an old motor in stock. Repairing a motor is seen as the lowest risk option too.
- **Stocks of old motors.** Many sites have stocks of older motors, and there is a natural tendency to use these "free" motors rather than purchase new motors.
- Increase in length/frame size. Higher efficiency motors will generally have more active material than less efficient motors, and may need an extended stator frame. Longer motors may not fit into all applications.
- **Energy audits.** Energy audits are, sometimes, not anchored in the reality of installations leading to recommendations that are not practical.



Stocks of old motors







Motor Stock by Efficiency Class Preliminary estimate



INSTITUTO DE SISTEMAS E ROBÓTICA

Even when taking the values of 12, 15 and 20 years for the average lifetime, depending on motor power range, it can be seen that, despite the Ecodesign Regulation in place, over 70% of the motors installed in the EU-27 were still IE2 efficiency class or below in 2020





- Replacing motors with IE2 Class or below.
- Assuming an average 4% gain in efficiency, equal to the average difference between IE1 and IE3

The savings triggered in the EU would equal 25 TWh/yr.

The savings would be even larger if the replacement is made with:

- IE4 or IE5 motors
- \circ correct sizing of the motor,
- o equipping the motor with variable speed drive
- o digitisation / sensorisation,
- o removing unneeded transmissions,
- 0 ...





Correct sizing of motor at the time of replacement

- Motors are most efficient at between 70% and 80% of rated output, with efficiency dropping sharply below 50% of rated output.
- Correct sizing of the motor can bring efficiency gains of up to 5%.

A conservative gain of 2% from correcting sizing when replacing old motors would bring additional savings of **12 TWh/yr**.





Equipping motor with a Variable Speed Drive

- Savings are typically in the range 15-35% (average 25% considered).
- Applications with variable load profiles represent approximately 50 to 60% of all applications.
- Estimates for the market penetration of VSDs to be approximately 45% in 2020 (CEMEP).
- This means that 5 to 15% of the new installed motors with variable loads are operated under inefficient control methods.

If VSDs are installed in these motors alongside motor renovations, the savings would amount to between **7,9 TWh/yr** (in the worst case) and **23 TWh/yr** (in the best case).





Digitisation / Sensorisation

• The Preparatory study for the Ecodesign and Energy Labelling Working Plan 2020-2024 identified electricity savings from digitisation of electric motors to be of around 5-10%.

Using the same rationale as above the savings from digitisation of motor systems would be of **31 to 63 TWh/yr.**



Recycling of old electric motors project -Sweden

- Motors are made of large amounts of recyclable valuable metals.
- Recycling of aluminium, copper and iron provides an energysaving of between 75 and 95 per cent compared to new production of these metals.
- In Sweden, ABB and Stena Recycling recently signed a longterm agreement on the recycling of old electric motors, replacing them with modern high efficiency motors (estimated 4 TWh of savings, about 3% of all Sweden electricity consumption).



Accelerated Replacement of Electric Motors

Conclusions



- Despite the recognition of the importance of electric motors as major consumers of electricity, both in industry and in the the tertiary sector, and of the consequent efforts to promote energy efficient electric motors by policy makers, manufacturers and other stakeholders, the rate of replacement of old inefficient motors seems to be far lower than expected.
- The large savings identified mean that motor replacement represents an opportunity for savings that cannot be forsaken.
- IEA identified as a milestone in its Net Zero Roadmap that all industrial electric motors are best in class by 2035. Purposeful action must be considered.



LIFE Project



EU-MORE – European Motor Renovation Initiative

Actions - Accelerated Replacement of Electric Motors

- Assess current EU motor stock (age, power, load, efficiency class) and trends.
- Review past and existing policy options for the acceleration of electric motor renovation identifying best-practices and shortcomings.
- Foster the discussion and knowledge exchange between stakeholders dealing with the promotion of energy-efficiency technologies and energy efficiency policy implementation.
- Develop new policies (adapted best practices or new concepts).



EU-MORE Partners

- ISR University of Coimbra
- ECI European Copper Institute
- IEECP Institute of European Energy and Climate Policy
- AEA Austrian Energy Agency
- CRES Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving
- Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research





DE SISTEMAS E ROBÓTICA









Contacts:

Anibal T. de Almeida

ISR – University of Coimbra

adealmeida@isr.uc.pt

João Fong

ISR – University of Coimbra

joaofong@isr.uc.pt